UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,693	10/18/2005	Mike Claerhout	134.001	2024
31209 DONALD V. T	7590 03/17/200 OMKINS	8	EXAMINER	
C/O TOMKINS LAW OFFICE			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
740, 10150 -  100 STREET EDMONTON, AB T5J 0P6			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/553,693	CLAERHOUT, MIKE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Kastler	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·		0 0.0.2.0.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 24-38 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 23 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						

Application/Control Number: 10/553,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abbey III in view

of Romashov et al. Abbey III teaches a method of removing burrs from the interior surface of a

slotted metal liner with the use of a cutting tool (38 or 40) by passing the cutting tool interiorly

of the liner and rotating the liner with respect to the cutting tool, thereby showing all aspects of

the above claim except the use of any particular type of cutting tool. Romashov et al teaches a

cutting tool for the removal of burrs inside a metal workpiece where the cutting tool meets all

requirements of the above claim. Because Abbey III requires some unspecified type of cutting

tool for removing burrs, motivation to employ the known burr removal cutting tool of Romashov

et al as the cutting tool of Abbey III, would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-22 and 24-38 are allowed at least because none of the cited or applied prior art

shows of fairly suggests a burr removal apparatus or method employing the cutting torch

structure recited in instant independent claim 1.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Japanese'016A is also cited as a further example of prior art burr removal methods and apparatus employing cutting devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott Kastler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Application/Control Number: 10/553,693

Page 4

Art Unit: 1793